

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/839,135	04/23/2001	Mitsushi Yoshioka	392.1714	1599	
21171 75	590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LUK, EMMANUEL S		
SUITE 700	RK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1722		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,								
-1		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/839,13	5	YOSHIOKA, MITSU	JSHI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	· .	Emmanuel		1722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	iress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty Il expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this control (NDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 2/2/04.						
2a)□	•		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from cor						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		~					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documenal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Ap ents have been i e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National 9	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO 	9-152)			
C Detect and	Frademark Office	18-9						

Application/Control Number: 09/839,135

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 1, 2, 5-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibuya (6051896) in view of Chaya (5818666).

Shibuya teaches the claimed apparatus having an injection mechanism with a movable section (51) linked to the screwshaft (Ks) extending in an axial direction, an outer frame (4), a fixed section attached to the outer frame, extending in the axial direction (84, 85a, 85b, 85c, 85d), a plurality of linear motors (3) each comprised of a movable section, an outer frame and fixed section surround the screw shaft (Fig. 1, 11, 12).

Shibuya fails to teach the fixed section detachably attachable to the outer frame and an adjuster.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,135

Art Unit: 1722

However, the fixed sections comprise of separate elements from the outer frame (4) and upon disassembly of the apparatus would allow for the detachment of the elements.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the fixed section is detachably attached to the outer frame.

Chaya teaches a linear motor, wherein a position adjustment means (Fig. 6) adjust the gaps between the magnets (13) and the corresponding inner yokes (12) on which the linear motor magnetic circuit coils (9) arranged on the head carriage (6) are respectively wound and thus maximizing the efficiency of the of magnets (Col. 1, lines 50-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shibuya with adjuster as taught by Chaya because it allows for adjusting the gap between parts and thereby improve efficiency of the magnets.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibuya et al in view of Wacker (EP 0744815 A2).

Shibuya teaches the claimed apparatus as shown above.

Shibuya fails to teach a linear guide.

Wacker teaches linear motor elements (PM) on a frame (T) that surrounds the element (P), a linear guide is provided at a point in the frame represented at SP.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shibuya with a linear guide to the frame as taught by Wacker because it ensures the movable section moves accordingly in the desired direction.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,135

Art Unit: 1722

5. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emoto (5679384) in view of Chaya (5818666).

Emoto teaches the claimed apparatus having having an outer frame (11), the outer frame having movable sections (56) and a fixed section (41) attached to the outside portion of the outer frame, the outer frame and fixed sections are arranged to surround the screw shaft (63). The first portion (63) is attached to the injection unit (12), the second portion detachably attached to the frame (41) facing the first portion, the second portion is disposed in a hole in the frame and relative to the first portion.

Emoto fails to teach adjuster.

Chaya teaches a linear motor, wherein a position adjustment means (Fig. 6) adjust the gaps between the magnets (13) and the corresponding inner yokes (12) on which the linear motor magnetic circuit coils (9) arranged on the head carriage (6) are respectively wound and thus maximizing the efficiency of the of magnets (Col. 1, lines 50-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shibuya with adjuster as taught by Chaya because it allows for adjusting the gap between parts and thereby improve efficiency of the magnets.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3 and 4 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,135 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to teach a molding machine having a linear motor on the fixed section and movable section, wherein the fixed section of the linear motors are detachably attached to the outer frame or the fixed section is a lid to the hole section in the outer frame. The closest prior art, Shibuya, fails to teach this detachable fixed section.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, filed 11/26/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2 and 5-15 under Emoto, Shibuya, Chaya and Wacker have been fully considered and are not persuasive. Chaya teaches the newly added changes to the independent claims concerning the adjuster for adjusting the gap between the magnets. New ground(s) of rejection have been made to reflect these changes with the incorporation of Chaya to the main rejections.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1722

272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 to 4 and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EL

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700